Appendix 2

Expert evaluation

**of the qualifying research paper**

**«*Theme of the dissertation research»*,**

**presented for PhD TSU degree**

**Candidate: Full name**

**Research supervisor: position, full name**

1. Scientific novelty and relevance of the dissertation.
2. List of results obtained in the dissertation.
3. Theoretical and practical significance of the results obtained.
4. Evaluation of completeness in reflecting the main results of the dissertation in publications.

On the basis of the forgoing, the expert regards

1. The results presented in the dissertation by *Full name* are described (not described) sufficiently (insufficiently) in publications on the dissertation;
2. The dissertation by *Full name* corresponds to the criteria for the final research paper presented for PhD TSU in the major 00.06.01 – Name of the major in postgraduate studies “Major”, and may be defended before the TSU PhD Committee in **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** *(state the field of science/program/major of the academic degree according to the order No. 594/1 ОД dated 04.06.2018)*

Official opponents recommended are:

* *Full name, academic degree, academic title (if any);*
* *Full name, academic degree, academic title (if any).*

Date

Expert:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

*(signature, full name, academic degree, academic title, position, name of employer)*